<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1 to 20 are pending in the application. The Examiner has subjected the claims to a restriction requirement and required that Applicants elect one of the following groups of claims for examination:

- I. Claims 1 (in part), 2 to 4, and 14 to 17 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I, depicted in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 544 and 548;
- II. Claims 1 and 5 to 10 (In part), drawn to compounds of Formula II, depicted in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 544 and 548;
- III. Claims 1 and 5 to 10 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula V, depicted in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 544 and 548;
- IV. Claims 1 and 11 to 13 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula III, depicted in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 544, 548, and 558;
- V. Claims 1 and 11 to 13 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula IV, depicted in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 544, 548, and 558;
- VI. Claims 18 to 20 (in part), drawn to a cosmetic composition of compounds of Formula I, classified in various subclasses of class 424;

VII. Claims 18 to 20 (in part), drawn to a cosmetic composition of compounds of Formula II, classified in various subclasses of class 424:

VIII. Claims 18 to 20 (in part), drawn to a cosmetic composition of compounds of Formula III, classified in various subclasses of class 424;

IX. Claims 18 to 20 (in part), drawn to a cosmetic composition of compounds of Formula IV, classified in various subclasses of class 424;

X. Claims 18 to 20 (in part), drawn to a cosmetic composition of compounds of Formula V, classified in various subclasses of class 424.

The Examiner has further required election of one hydrophilic moiety and one lightfastness moiety.

The Examiner has additionally required an election of a single compound.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirements and maintain that the instant claims should be examined together. Applicants are of the position that the claims in the Groups set forth can be examined together without undue burden. Applicants are also of the position that the patent classification system has been developed primarily for convenience, and separate classifications within this system is not indicative of distinctiveness for the purposes of a

restriction requirement. Applicants thus maintain that the claims of Groups I through X should be examined together.

In the event that the Examiner persists in the restriction requirement, Applicants elect the Group I claims (claims 1, 2 to 4, and 14 to 17) for prosecution.

Regarding election of a single hydrophilic moiety.

Applicants elect polyethylene oxide chains.

Regarding election of a single lightfastness molety, Applicants elect hydroxybenzophenone groups.

Regarding election of a single compound, Applicants elect poly(dimethylsiloxane-co-methyl(3-propyl(2-hydroxybenzophenone)siloxane)-graft-methoxypolyethylene glycol) (compound prepared in Example III).

No additional fee is believed to be required for this amendment. However, the undersigned Xerox Corporation attorney (or agent) hereby authorizes the charging of any necessary fees, other than the issue fee, to Xerox Corporation Deposit Account No. 24-0025. This also constitutes a request for any needed extension of time and authorization to charge all fees therefor to Xerox Corporation Deposit Account No. 24-0025.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Applicant(s) attorney, Judith L. Byorick, at Telephone Number (585) 423-4564, Rochester, New York.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith L. Byorick Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 32,606

(585) 423-4564

JLB/cw November 3, 2003 Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20A Rochester, New York 14644